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#### Abstract

In the present paper，we shall prove several basic theorems for regular sequences and the K oszul complex，in a more general setting．


## Introduction

Let $A$ be a Noetherian ring，$I$ be an ideal of $A$ and $M$ be a finite $A$－module． First of all，let us recall several basic facts of $M$－regular sequences and $I$－depth of M ．

We say that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r} \in I$ is an $M$－regular sequence（or simply $M$－sequence）in I of length $r$ ，if the following conditions are satisfied：
（1）for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r, a_{i}$ is not a zero－divisor on $M /\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}\right) M$ ；
（2）$M \neq\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) M$ ．
If，moreover，there exists no $b \in I$ such that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, b$ is $M$－regular，then $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ is said to be a maximal $M$－regular sequence in I．

Theorem A（cf．Theorem 2．1）．Let A be a Noetherian ring，M be a finite A－ module and $\mathbf{I}$ be an ideal of $\mathbf{A}$ such that $\mathbf{I} \mathrm{M} \neq \mathrm{M}$ ．Then all maximal M －sequences in $\mathbf{I}$ have the same length n ，furthermore n given by

$$
n=\inf \left\{i \mid E x t_{A}^{i}(A / I, M) \neq 0\right\} .
$$

The integer n above is called $\mathbf{I}$－depth of M and denoted by $\operatorname{depth}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{M})$（if $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{M}=$ M ，we set $\operatorname{depth}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{M})=\infty)$ ．

We shall prove that Theorem A holds in a more general setting pointed out by Prof．Matsumura in［2］．In detail the hypothesis that M is a finite A－module can be weakened to the statement that $M$ is a finite $B$－module for a homomorphism $A \longrightarrow B$ of Noetherian rings．

Finally we would like to thank Prof．H．Chiba for his kindness and valuable correspondences for publishing．

## 1．PRELIMINARIES

Let us begin with the following lemma．It is seem to be simple but the technical core of this paper．

[^0]Lemma 1.1. Let M be a finite B -module for a homomorphism $\phi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ of Noetherian rings. Let $\mathbf{I}$ be an ideal of $\mathbf{A}$ consisting entirely of zero-divisors of M . If we set $\mathrm{Ass} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{M})=\left\{\mathrm{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \cap \mathrm{A}$, then $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{Ass} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{M})$ for all i and there exists $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{j}} \in \mathrm{A} \mathrm{Ss}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{M})$ such that $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{j}}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $P_{i}=a n n_{B}(m)$ for some $m \in M$ implies $\mathfrak{p}_{i}=a n n_{A}(m)$ by the definition of module structures. Hence $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{Ass} A(M)$ for all $i$.

For any $x \in I$, there exists $m \in M$ such that $x m=0, m \neq 0$. Since $x m=$ $\phi(x) m=0, \phi(x)$ is a zero-divisor of $M$ as a $B$-module. Thus $\phi(x)$ is contained in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} P_{i}$ and $\phi(x) \in P_{j}$ for some $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$. So $x \in \mathfrak{p}_{j}$. Therefore $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{j}$.

Remark 1.1. Note that according to [1] (9.A ), we have, more precisely

$$
\operatorname{Ass}_{A}(M)=\left\{\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{r}\right\}
$$

By virtue of Lemma 1.1, we have the following generalized theorem:
Theorem 1.2. Let M be a finite B -module for a homomorphism $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ of Noetherian rings and I an ideal of A with $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{M} \neq \mathrm{M}$. Let $\mathrm{n}>0$ be an integer. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M})=0$ for all $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n}$ and for any finite A -module N with $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathrm{N}) \subseteq$ V (I);
(2) $E \operatorname{Et}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{M})=0$ for all $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n}$;
(3) There exists a finite A -module N with $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathrm{N})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{I})$ such that $E x t_{A}^{i}(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{M})$ $=0$ for all $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n}$;
(4) There exists an M -sequence $\mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$ of length n in I .

Proof. (1) $=\Rightarrow(2)$ : Since $\operatorname{Supp}_{A}(A / I)=V\left(\operatorname{ann}_{A}(A / I)\right)=V(I)$ and $A / I$ is a finite A-module, Ext $t_{A}^{i}(A / I, M)=0$ for all $i<n$.
$(2)=\Rightarrow(3)$ is obvious.
(3) $=\Rightarrow$ (4): If I consists only of zero-divisors of $M$, then by using Lemma 1.1, there exists an associated prime $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I$ of $M$. Hence there exists the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{~A} / \mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}
$$

Localising at $\mathfrak{p}$, we get the injective $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-homomorphism $\phi: k \longrightarrow M_{p}$ where $k$ is the residue field of $A_{p}$. Now $\mathfrak{p}$ is contained in $V(I)=\operatorname{Supp}_{A}(N)$, so that $N_{p} \neq 0$, and hence by $N$ akayama's lemma, $N \otimes_{A} k=N_{p} / \mathfrak{p} N_{p} \neq 0$. Thus $N \otimes_{A} k$ is non zero vector space over $k$ and hence its dual space $\operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(N \otimes_{A} k, k\right)$ is not zero. We take $0 \neq \psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(N \otimes_{A} k, k\right)$ and consider a sequence of $A_{p}$-homomorphisms

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{N} \otimes_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{k} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathrm{k} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{p}} .
$$

Here the first arrow is a surjective homomorphism because it is induced by a canonical map $A_{p} \longrightarrow k$. Hence, $\operatorname{Hom}_{A_{p}}\left(N_{p}, M_{p}\right) \neq 0$. The left-hand side is equal to $\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(N, M)\right)_{p}$ because $N$ is a finite $A$-module, so that $E x t_{A}^{0}(N, M)=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M}) \neq 0$. But this contradicts (3). Hencel contains an M -regular element f. $M / f M \neq 0$ because $M / I M \neq 0$. If $n=1$ then we are done. A ssume $n>1$, we set $M_{1}=M / f M$, then the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} M \longrightarrow M_{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

leads to the long exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{0}(N, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{0}(N, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{0}\left(N, M_{1}\right) \\
& \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}(N, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}\left(N, M_{1}\right) \\
& \longrightarrow \longrightarrow .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumption, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{0}\left(N, M_{1}\right)=\cdots=\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n-2}\left(N, M_{1}\right)=0 .
$$

So $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}\left(N, M_{1}\right)=0$ for all $i<n-1$. Since $M_{1} / I M_{1}=M / I M \neq 0$, by inductive hypothesis, there exists an $M_{1}$-sequence $f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ of length $n-1$ in $I$. Hence $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ is an $M$-sequence of the length $n$ in $I$. Thus we get the assertion.
(4) $=\Rightarrow(1)$ : We show this by induction on $n$. For $n=1$, there exists an $M$ regular element $f_{1} \in I$. We set $M_{1}=M / f_{1} M$, then the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{f_{1}} M \longrightarrow M_{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

leads the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(N, M) \xrightarrow{f_{1}} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(N, M)
$$

Since $N$ is a finite A-module, $\operatorname{Supp}_{A}(N)=V\left(a n n_{A}(N)\right)$ so that we have $\sqrt{\operatorname{ann}_{A}(N)}$ $\supseteq \sqrt{I} \supseteq I$. Hence $f_{1}^{\prime} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(N, M)=0$ for a sufficiently large $I>0$. Therefore we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{0}(N, M)=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(N, M)=0$, because $f_{1}$ is injective. For $n>1$, then $f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ is an $M_{1}$-sequence of length $n-1$. By inductive hypothesis, we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}\left(N, M_{1}\right)=0$ for all $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n}-1$. Hence the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{f_{1}} M \longrightarrow M_{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

leads the long exact sequence


Thus we have the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(N, M) \xrightarrow{f_{1}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(N, M)
$$

for all $i<n$. Since $I \subseteq \sqrt{\operatorname{ann}_{A}(N)}$ and $E x t_{A}^{i}(N, M)$ is annihilated by elements of $\operatorname{ann}_{A}(N)$, we have $f_{1}^{l} E x t_{A}^{i}(N, M)=0$ for a sufficiently large $I>0$. Therefore $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M})=0$ for all $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n}$.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Now we come to the main result of the paper.
Theorem 2.1. Let M be a finite B -module for a homomorphism $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ of Noetherian rings and I an ideal of A with $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{M} \neq \mathrm{M}$. Then, the length of a maximal M -sequence in $\mathbf{I}$ is the same length n , furthermore n is determined by

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(A / I, M)=0 \text { for all } i<n \text { and } E x t_{A}^{n}(A / I, M) \neq 0
$$

Proof. Let us begin with the following claim:

Claim 2.2. Let $\mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{I}$ be an M -sequence. Then there exists a sequence of injective homomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A / I, M_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}\left(A / I, M_{n-1}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n-1}\left(A / I, M_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(A / I, M), \\
\cdots \longrightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{i}=M /\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}\right) M$.
Proof. By $a_{n}$ is $M_{n-1}$-regular, we have the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{a_{n}} M_{n-1} \longrightarrow M_{n} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

This leads the long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A / I, M_{n-1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A / I, M_{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}\left(A / I, M_{n-1}\right) \rightarrow \cdots .
$$

Since $a_{n}$ is an $M_{n-1}$-sequence of length 1, by $T$ heorem 1.2, $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A / I, M_{n-1}\right)=0$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A / I, M_{n}\right), \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}\left(A / I, M_{n-1}\right) .
$$

Next $a_{n-1}$ is $M_{n-2}$-regular, the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow M_{n-2} \xrightarrow{a_{n-1}} M_{n-2} \longrightarrow M_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

leads the long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}\left(A / I, M_{n-2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}\left(A / I, M_{n-1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2}\left(A / I, M_{n-2}\right) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Since $a_{n}, a_{n-1}$ is an $M_{n-2}$-sequence of length 2, by $T$ heorem 1.2, $E x t_{A}^{1}\left(A / I, M_{n-2}\right)$ $=0$, so that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}\left(A / I, M_{n-1}\right), \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2}\left(A / I, M_{n-2}\right) .
$$

Similarly proceeding this way, we get our assertion.
Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in I$ be a maximal $M$-sequence. If $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(A / I, M)=0$ by the above claim, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A / I, M_{n}\right)=0$. By Theorem 1.2 , there exists an $M_{n}$ regular element $a_{n+1} \in I$ and so we have an $M$-sequence $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n+1}$ in $I$, but this contradicts to the maximality of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$. Thus we get the assertion.

Finally, let us see that a basic result on the K oszul complex can be generalized:
Theorem 2.3. Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a finite $\mathbf{B}$-module for a homomorphism $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ of Noetherian rings and $\mathbf{I}=\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ an ideal of A such that $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{M} \neq \mathrm{M}$. If we set

$$
q=\sup \left\{i \mid H_{i}(\underline{y}, M) \neq 0\right\},
$$

then any maximal M -sequence in I has length $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{q}$.
Proof. Let $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}}$ be a maximal M -sequence in I. We prove this by induction on s . For $\mathrm{s}=0$, every element of I is a zero-divisor of M . By Lemma 1.1, there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in A \operatorname{ss}_{A}(M)$ such that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Then, there exists $0 \neq \xi \in M$ such that $\mathfrak{p}=\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{A}}(\xi)$, and hence $\boldsymbol{I} \xi=0$. Thus $\xi \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\underline{y}, \mathrm{M})$ because $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{M})=\{\xi \in$ $\left.\mathrm{M} \mid \mathrm{y}_{1} \xi=\cdots=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}} \xi=0\right\}$, so that $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}$ and the assertion holds in this case.

For $s>0$, we set $M_{1}=M / x_{1} M$. Then, the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_{1}} M \longrightarrow M_{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

leads the long exact sequence (see [3, Proposition 1.6.11]),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots & H_{i}(\underline{y}, M) \xrightarrow{x_{1}} H_{i}(\underline{y}, M) \longrightarrow H_{i}\left(\underline{y}, M_{1}\right) \\
& H_{i-1}(\underline{y}, M) \xrightarrow{x_{1}} H_{i-1}(\underline{y}, M) \longrightarrow H_{i-1}\left(\underline{y}, M_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

By [2, Theorem 16.4], I $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}(\underline{y}, \mathrm{M})=0$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ker}\left(H_{i}(\underline{y}, M) \longrightarrow H_{i}\left(\underline{y}, M_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Im} x_{1}=0 \\
& \operatorname{Im}\left(H_{i}\left(\underline{y}, M_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{i-1}(\underline{y}, M)\right)=\operatorname{Ker} x_{1}=H_{i-1}(\underline{y}, M) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H_{i}(\underline{y}, M) \longrightarrow H_{i}\left(\underline{y}, M_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H_{i-1}(\underline{y}, M) \longrightarrow 0
$$

for all i. If $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}+1}\left(\underline{y}, \mathrm{M}_{1}\right)=0$ then $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}(\underline{y}, \mathrm{M})=0$ from the above exact sequence. But this is a contradiction, hence $H_{q+1}\left(\underline{y}, M_{1}\right) \neq 0$. Since $H_{i-1}(\underline{y}, M)=H_{i}(\underline{y}, M)=0$ for all $\mathrm{i}>\mathrm{q}+1$, so that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\underline{y}, \mathrm{M}_{1}\right)=\overline{0}$. This means that $\mathrm{q}+1=\sup \left\{\mathrm{i} \mid \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\underline{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{M}_{1}\right) \neq\right.$ $0\}$. Since $M_{1}$ is a finite $B$-module and $M_{1} \neq I M_{1}$, by the inductive hypothesis, $\mathrm{s}-1=\mathrm{n}-(\mathrm{q}+1)$. Therefore $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{q}$.
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